

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/600,648	BRIVIO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven D. Maki	1733

All Participants:

(1) Steven D. Maki.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(2) Meredith H. Schoenfeld.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 August 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

33, 40 and 47

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner made courtesy call to inform applicant's representative that the after final amendment filed 8-4-06 is not being entered since adding --wherein the mould includes means for retaining the plurality of studs in the seats, and the retaining means is separated from the plurality of studs-- to claims 33, 40 and 47 is a new issue. Examiner commented that the serial number on page 1 of the after final amendment has been corrected. In particular, the serial number was changed from "10/660,648" to --10/600,648-- by a handwritten notation. .